APPENDIX 1

				Corpora	ite Risk Re	egister							
	Risk Description	Consequence	Cause		herent Ri		Responsibility	Responsible	Control Measures		esidual Ri		
Ref No.				Impact		Rating	of	to		Impact		Rating	Movement of Risk
Ref No.	SOCIAL/ POLITICAL/	A serious case review arising from death/serious harm to a vulnerable person. Reputational damage to council. Loss of confidence in ability of council to deliver services.	Lack of response to a safeguarding report. Service failure. Modern slavery.		Likelihoo d 4			Head of Communities	The organisation has the following structures in place; An identified Corporate Lead (Head of Service) with a Portfolio Holder lead An identified Team responsible for Safeguarding (Safer & Stronger) with responsibility embedded into Team Leader role and an officer (Child & Adults at risk Officer) An agreed Safeguarding Policy refreshed as required with delegation to Director of Housing and Customer Services for updates An identified group of Designated Safeguarding Officers (DSO's) in most service areas A programme of regular DSO meetings which consider training, best practice and case issues An annual training programme to ensure new DSO's are well informed and trained A quarterly senior management review of all cases to check progress/close cases A quarterly briefing with the Chief Executive, a 6 monthly report to CLT and an annual report reviews previous year and endorses an action plan for the year ahead. A computerised system of reporting	4	esidual Ri Likelihoo d 2		Stable Stable
									and managing reports introduced in 2019, will ensure constant reminders of new cases, sending alerts at all points in the procedure. Commitment to raise awareness of the scale and extent of modern slavery in the UK and ensure our contracts and supplies don't contribute to modern day slavery				
2	FINANCIAL/ COMMERCIAL/ REPUTATIONAL Mismanagement of council	Central Government intervention/special measures. Adverse publicity. Possible litigation. Withdrawal of services.	Mis-interpreting of or not responding appropriately to a change in fiscal policy.	4	4	16	Head of Finance	Director of Housing and Customer	and exploitation. Monthly management reviews monitor actual spend against budgets and forecast to the end of the year.	4	1	4	Stable
1	iiviismanagement of council I		1	•			•	C:	1	1	•		•

					ite Risk R								
	Risk Description	Consequence	Cause		herent Ri		Responsibility		Control Measures		esidual Ri		
ef No.				Impact	Likelihoo d	Rating	of	to		Impact	Likelihoo d	Rating	Movement of Ris
	finances		Poor budget planning / management.					Services	Monthly reporting and challenging at CLT, and reported to Cabinet quarterly Sound policies and procedures are in place.				
			Internal financial systems and regulations not being properly applied.						Financial planning processes have been documented and are reviewed regularly. Internal and External audit of systems and accounts. Membership of CIPFA and engagement of Arling Close gives access to specialist advice, analysis and expertise.				
	REPUTAIONAL/ LEGAL COMMERCIAL Insufficient resources due to unplanned / unforeseen absences / vacancies	Council unable to perform its statutory duties. Use of external resources at significantly higher cost.	Failure to horizon scan and interpret future needs in crucial roles. Inability to recruit to vacancies / retain staff.	4	2	8	Head of HR and OD		Advance planning will mitigate this risk; Ability to divert resources from other services, bringing in additional resources from other sources (e.g. Agencies, Consultants, Voluntary/ Community sector etc.) would be activated. Market conditions are tested through recruitment processes. The Council can offer a package of additional benefits to enhance the recruitment offer. The Council has developed innovative partnering relationships with other sectors including the private sector to make posts uniquely attractive. Best Employee Experience is a programme to attract and develop the right skills, and promoting existing staff talent through secondments and tailored development programmes. Apprenticeships allow the Council to 'grow our own'.	3	2	6	Stable
	LEGAL / FINANCIAL Contracts are not properly procured and managed	Council liable to incur additional costs, contract overrun, litigation and potential health & safety issues as well as service disruptions.	Failure to monitor contractors appropriately.	3	4	12	Finance Team Manager. All Team Managers.		Corporate procurement officer and legal team to support where necessary on contract management.	3	2	6	Stable

					te Risk R								
	Risk Description	Consequence	Cause		herent Ri		Responsibility		Control Measures		esidual Ri		
ef No.				Impact	Likelihoo d	Rating	of	to		Impact	Likelihoo d	Rating	Movement of Risk
			Legal and procurement teams not consulted when contractors are engaged. Loss of key staff or supplier.						Policies and procedures are in place. Reserve contractor in place where appropriate. A Senior Procurement Officer oversees a procurement planning process.				
			Procurement procedures are not followed. The council contributes to modern slavery via it's contracts and supplies.						Training programme in place for staff. Commitment to ensure our contracts and supplies don't contribute to modern day slavery and exploitation.				
	LEGAL / TECHNOLOGICAL Loss or unlawful use of personal data constituting breach of data protection legislation	Monetary penalties from ICO, adverse publicity, private litigation and personal criminal liability of officers.	Systems not in place to protect sensitive data. Staff are not properly trained in managing information, and do not follow internal procedures.		3	9	Legal Services Team Manager	Head of Legal & Support Services	Policies and procedures are in place although not yet rolled out and fully embedded. Corporate Governance training is undertaken annually and includes information governance as appropriate to reflect changes in legislation. The Council has a dedicated SIRO. Corporate Governance Groups are in place to scrutinise impacts/issues arising.	3	2	6	Stable
	LEGAL / REPUTAIONAL / COMMERCIAL Failure to respond to an emergency in an appropriate manner	Adverse publicity. "Business as usual" not possible without appropriate business continuity plan in place. Breakdown in relationship with other responders.	Lack of planning, training and exercising of Emergency plans Inadequate Corporate Business Continuity Management. Lack of procedural understanding	4	3	12	Head of Human Resources and Organisation Development		Business continuity plans have been documented, policies and procedures are in place. The LRF partnership arrangement with all Leicestershire and Rutland authorities provide resilience during civil emergency situations. Business Continuity exercises show the readiness of the Council to deal with emergencies. System of ICO / FLM duty rotas is in place.		1	4	Stable
	LEGAL/ TECHNOLOGICAL/ COMMERCIAL Infiltration of ICT systems	"Business as usual" would not be possible. Cost of repelling cyber threat and enhancing security features.	Systems not in place or kept current to deflect any foreseeable cyber attack.	4	4	16	ICT Manager	Head of Customer Services	Fully resilient environment in place with no single points of failure for core systems, other critical systems use cold standby equipment.	3	2	6	Stable

				Corpora	te Risk Re	egister							
	Risk Description	Consequence	Cause		herent Ris		Responsibility	Responsible	Control Measures		esidual Ri		
Ref No.				Impact	Likelihoo	Rating	of	to		Impact	Likelihoo	Rating	Movement of Risk
					d						d		
									Yearly IT security health check and				
									PEN (penetration) testing carried				
									out, by a CREST security accredited				
									supplier, with remediation action				
									plan in place to mitigate any risks				
									found.				
									Phishing campaigns ran twice a year				
									to test staff security awareness and				
									feed back results to CLT, with				
									improvement plans in place for				
									those who have not passed the test.				
									Quarterly Cyber Security awareness				
									training held for staff and new				
									starters, to protect staff at work and				
									in the office.				
			Limited staff awareness of						New business services are run in				
			possible threats.						remote fully resilient data centres				
			possible tilleats.						and existing systems are being				
									progressively migrated to these				
									cloud computing centres.				
									cloud computing centres.				
									Phishing campaigns ran twice a year				
									to test staff security awareness and				
									feed back results to CLT, with				
									improvement plans in place for				
									those who have not passed the test.				
									Improved business recovery				
1									arrangements have been				
1									implemented to minimise recovery				
1									time. Accreditation				
1									to Cyber Essentials Plus and the Public Services Network.				
			Lapse in security awareness										
			and basic processes from a						An annual external audit of IT				
			technical and human						assessed the organisation's IT				
			perspective.						arrangements in a range of areas				
			F F						against best practice. (The outcome				
									of the audit in 2019 was, GRADE 1,				
1									with one recommendation, which				
									has already been addressed and				
1									provides assurance that the				
									organisation's IT arrangements are				
									solid, sound and secure).				

					te Risk Re								
Ref No.	Risk Description	Consequence	Cause		herent Ris Likelihoo		Responsibility		Control Measures		esidual Ri		Movement of Bisle
Ref No.				Impact	d	Rating	of	to		impact	Likelihoo d	Rating	Movement of Risk
8	COMMERCIAL / POLITICAL / FINANCIAL Projects are poorly managed	Failure of proposed projects could result in failure to achieve overall objectives. Inefficient use / waste of resources.	Failure to implement project management techniques. Poor corporate oversight of projects. Inadequate controls on expenditure and poor budget monitoring. Inadequate monitoring of external contracts. Failure to engage project management expertise when required.	3	4	12	Head of Human Resources and Organisation Development		Properly convened project teams with PID and project plan in place, including project risk registers. Progress on corporate projects scrutinised by CLT. Implementation of contract management framework for outsourced services. Scrutiny of quarterly monitoring reports on capital expenditure.	3	3	9	Stable
									Utilising Internal Audit to conduct audits of individual projects or Project management more widely. Use of external resources to be used to support the Coalville and Leisure projects. Scrutiny of risk registers or project management framework of individual projects by Risk Scrutiny Group.				
C	EEGAL / POLITICAL / REPUTATIONAL Council makes ultra vires (beyond the council's powers and functions) decisions	Potential litigation against the Council, resulting in increased costs / compensation. Reputational damage.	Staff / Members proceeding without established governance arrangements. Failure to consult with Legal / Monitoring Officer. Lack of understanding of the implications of dealing with a particular matter.	4	3	12	Legal Services Team Manager	& Support Services	Policies & procedures in place, governance processes are documented and in operation, ongoing assessments and reviews are performed. Completion of the Annual Governance statement.	4	1	4	Stable
10	FINANCIAL / LEGAL / REPUTATIONAL Council is subject to fraud, corruption or theft	Financial, reputational and political damage to Council.	Lack of checks and balances within financial regulations.	4	3	12	Head of Finance. All Team Managers & Heads of Service.		A policy framework that includes Anti-Fraud and Corruption Policy, Confidential Reporting (Whistleblowing) Policy and Anti- Money Laundering Policy.	3	2	6	Stable

				Corpora	ate Risk R	egister_							
	Risk Description	Consequence	Cause		nherent Ri		Responsibility	Responsible	Control Measures	Residual Ri		sk	
Ref No.				Impact	Likelihoo	Rating	of	to		Impact	Likelihoo	Rating	Movement of Risk
					d						d		
			Poor budget / contract						The Internal Audit annual planning				
			management.						process takes into account high risk				
									areas, which considers fraud risks.				
									Fraud risks are considered as part of				
									specific audits with testing designed				
									to detect fraud where possible.				
									The Council is also subject to				
									External Audit.				
			Poor monitoring of /						Internal control and governance				
			adherence to financial						arrangements such as segregation				
			systems						of duties, schemes of delegation,				
			1						bank reconciliations of fund				
									movements, and verification				
									processes.				
									processes.				
									Information on how to report fraud				
									is on the website including relevant				
									links.				
									Participation and strengthening of				
									involvement in National Fraud				
									Initiative (mandatory) and				
									Leicestershire Fraud Intelligence				
									Hub (voluntary).				
			l										
			l						Leicestershire Revenues and				
									Benefits Partnership have two				
									trained officers working solely on				
			l						Council Tax Reduction Scheme				
			l						Fraud and act as Single Point of				
									Contact for DWP referrals.				
					1								

				Corpora	ite Risk R	egister							
	Risk Description	Consequence	Cause	In	herent Ri	sk	Responsibility	Responsible	Control Measures	Re	esidual Ri	sk	
Ref No.				Impact	Likelihoo d	Rating	of	to		Impact	Likelihoo d	Rating	Movement of Risk
11	ECONOMIC	Services are unable to be delivered. Potential staff redundancies. Funding of external groups is withdrawn. Potential breach of statutory duties.	Reduction in government grant. Changes to the local authority financial settlement. Economic downturn / recession. Commercial opportunities not progressed. Changing rent policies.	3	4	12	Head of Finance. All Heads of Service.	Chief Executive.	Medium Term Financial Strategy in place, including Self Sufficiency initiative. Bi-annual review of Medium Term Financial Plan. Head of Finance monitoring of Local Government funding reviews. Funding advisor engaged. Economic Development Team promotes business offer. Participation in Business Rates Pilots. Accessing external funding where appropriate. Income collection procedures in Revs & Bens Service and Housing.	3	3	9	Stable
12	POLITICAL / ORGANISATIONAL The Council is affected by Local Government Reorganisation	a) Change to Local Government structure in Leicestershire/East Midlands, including potential merger of district councils/county council could lead to: - Change in location for service delivery/staff - Reduction of control over local matters - Change in financial situation - Staff redundancies - Alternative political structure and governance arrangements - Changes in services to be provided and organisation culture - Deterioration in staff morale and negative effect on staff recruitment and retention - Ineffective engagement with staff, Members and residents in considering, and responding to, proposals Diversion of senior staff resources to respond to	Political direction to consolidate local government tiers to potentially seek greater efficiency and coordination	4	3	12	Chief Executive and Head of Legal and Support Services.	Executive	Active engagement with political leaders and Chief Executives across the County so NWL's needs are taken into account in the proposals. Open and transparent communication of NWL position to all stakeholders. Senior management and politicians stay close to project and monitor progress. Internal and external communication plans in place, including for key decision points. External resources to be utilised in assessing any proposals.	3	2	6	Stable

				Corpora	ate Risk R	egister							
	Risk Description	Consequence	Cause	lr	nherent Ri	sk	Responsibility	Responsible	Control Measures	Re	esidual Ri	sk	
Ref No.				Impact	Likelihoo d	Rating	of	to		Impact	Likelihoo d	Rating	Movement of Risk
13	POLITICAL / ORGANISATIONAL The Council is affected by the UK's departure from the EU, including a potential 'no deal' Brexit	The UK's departure from the EU, including an inability to agree the terms of the exit by 31 October 2019 could lead to: - increase in checks on goods by Environmental Officers at East Midlands Airport meaning increase in resources / costs uncertainty and subsequent regime around tariffs, access to markets, migrant labour and transport of goods in / out of EU could impact on businesses in district / region leading to decline in business rates and employment levels potential need for increased storage facilities at entry / exit points and associated increases in freight traffic, putting pressure on local infrastructure - potential withdrawal of access to EU wide IT systems (e.g. relating to imported foodstuffs) -diversion of staff resources into contingency planning.	UK departure from EU, including inability of the EU and UK govt to agree terms by 31 January 2020 of the UK's exit.	4	4	16	Chief Executive and Head of Economic Regeneration	/ Chief Executive	Engage with National Local Authority steering groups for border control at strategic & operational levels. Implement communication strategy for local businesses so technical notices are shared, with appropriate signposting. Work with LLEP and Chamber of Commerce to provide business advice and support to address changes to legislation & certification. Monitor political developments on EU withdrawal closely. Establish contingency plans after scenario based assessment of resources required for increase in checks and controls, & access to alternative IT systems. Conduct localised assessment of potential impact around East Midlands Airport. Participate in Multi-agency Leicestershire Resilience Forum framework , with risk assessment		4	12	Increasing

Assessing the likelihood of a risk:

	occoming and intermited a ci	
1	Low	Likely to occur once in every ten years or more
2	Medium	Likely to occur once in every two to three years
3	High	Likely to occur once a year
4	Very high	Likely to occur at least twice in a year

Assessing the impact of a risk:

1 Low	Loss of a service for up to one day,
	Objectives of individuals are not met No
	injuries
	Financial loss below £10,000
	No media attention
	No breaches in council working practices
	No complaints / litigation
2 Medium	Loss of a service for up to one week with
	limited impact on the general public
	Service objectives of a service unit are not met
	Injury to an employee or member of the public
	requiring medical treatment
	Financial loss over £10,000
	Adverse regional or local media attention –
	televised or newspaper report
	Potential for a complaint litigation possible
	Breaches of regulations / standards

Risk Description	Consequence	Cause	Corporate Risk Register Inherent Risk	Responsibility Responsibl	e Control Measures	Residual Risk
Nisk Description	Consequence	Oduse	Impact Likelihoo Rating	of to	G COMMON INCUSARCES	Impact Likelihoo Rating Moveme
3 High	Loss of a critical service for one week or more with significant impact on the public and partner organisations Service objectives of the directorate of a critical nature are not met Non- statutory duties are not achieved Permanent injury to an employee or member of the public Financial loss over £100,000 Adverse national or regional media attention – national newspaper report Litigation to be expected Breaches of law punishable by fine					
4 Very high	An incident so severe in its effects that a critical service or project will be unavailable permanently Strategic priorities of a critical nature are not met Statutory duties are not achieved Death of an employee or member of the public Financial loss over £1m. Adverse national media attention – national televised news report Litigation almost certain and difficult to defend Breaches of law punishable by imprisonment					